arXiv:math/0012240v1 [math.AG] 22 Dec 2000

Real Regulators on Milnor Complexes

`(Some Informal Notes; December 2000)`

James D. Lewis

§0. Introduction

Let $X/_{\mathbf{C}}$ be a projective algebraic manifold of dimension n, with corresponding sheaf of regular functions $\mathcal{O}_X$. Put

$$\mathcal{K}^M_{k,X} := \big(\underbrace{\mathcal{O}^\times_X \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}^\times_X}_{k-\text{times}}\big)/J \qquad \text{(Milnor sheaf)},$$

where J is the subsheaf of the tensor product generated by sections of the form $\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_k$ such that $\tau_i + \tau_j = 1$ for some i and j. Put $\overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X} :=$ Image$\big(j : \mathcal{K}^M_{k,X} \to K^M_k(\mathbf{C}(X))\big)$. Our goal is to give an simple and explicit description of a regulator map to a certain quotient of real Deligne cohomology, in terms of logarithms (for $m \geq 1$):

$$r_{\log} : H^{k-m}_{\text{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X}) \to H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbf{R}(k))/(?),$$

where we recall that

$$H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbf{R}(k)) \simeq \frac{H^{2k-m-1}(X, \mathbf{R}(k-1))}{\pi_{k-1}(F^k H^{2k-m-1}(X, \mathbf{C}))}.$$

One of the reasons for constructing such a map, is the relationship between $H^{k-m}_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{K}^M_{k,X})$ and $CH^k(X, m)$ (Bloch's higher Chow group [Blo]), and the existence of a regulator $r_{\mathcal{D}} : CH^k(X, m) \to H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbf{R}(k))$. Further, information about the regulator $r_{\log}$, or a suitable (Beilinson type) variant $r_B : H^{k-m}_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{K}^M_{k,X}) \to H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbf{R}(k))/(?)$ should yield some information about $r_{\mathcal{D}}$.

One has an exact sequence of sheaves ((Gabber, 1992), see [MS2]):

$$\mathcal{K}^M_{k,X} \to K^M_k(\mathbf{C}(X)) \to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim}_X Z = 1} K^M_{k-1}(\mathbf{C}(Z)) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim}_X Z = k-m} K^M_m(\mathbf{C}(Z)) \to \cdots$$

$$\to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim}_X Z = k-2} K^M_2(\mathbf{C}(Z)) \to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim}_X Z = k-1} K^M_1(\mathbf{C}(Z)) \to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim}_X Z = k} K^M_0(\mathbf{C}(Z)) \to 0,$$

which clearly defines a flasque resolution of $\overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X}$. Similarly, for a quasi-projective variety W, if we set $\mathcal{CH}^k(r) =$ sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \subset W$ open $\mapsto CH^k(U, r)$, then there is proven in [Blo] a Gersten resolution:

$$0 \to \mathcal{CH}^k(r) \to \bigoplus_{x \in W^0} i_x CH^k(\operatorname{Sp}(k(x)), r) \to \bigoplus_{x \in W^1} i_x CH^{k-1}(\operatorname{Sp}(k(x)), r-1) \to \cdots$$

$$\to \bigoplus_{x \in W^r} i_x CH^{k-r}(\operatorname{Sp}(k(x)), 0) \to 0.$$

When $r = k$ (and $X = W$), both resolutions in fact agree (see [MS2]), and thus one has $\mathcal{CH}^k(k) \simeq \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X}$. Furthermore, Bloch (op. cit.) constructs a spectral sequence, $E_2^{p,q} := H^p_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{CH}^k(-q)) \Rightarrow CH^k(X, -p-q)$. We now set $p + q = -m$, or $-q = p + m$. Note that $p \geq 0$, $q \leq 0$. So $E_2^{p,-p-m} = H^p(X, \mathcal{CH}^k(p+m)) \Rightarrow CH^k(X, m)$. Now if we set $k = p + m$, then $E_2^{k-m,-k} = H^{k-m}_{\text{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X})$. If $m \leq 2$ then one can argue by partial degeneration of this spectral sequence that $CH^k(X, m) \simeq H^{k-m}_{\text{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X})$ ([MS] (op. cit.)).

We prove the following:

Main Theorem. (i) The current defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1,\dots,f_m \in \mathbf{C}(Z)^\times \\ \mathrm{codim}_X Z = k-m \end{pmatrix} \quad\mapsto\quad \left(w \mapsto \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \int_Z (-1)^{\ell-1} \log|f_\ell| (d\log|f_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge d\widehat{\log|f_\ell|} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|f_m|) \wedge w\right),$$

descends to a cohomological map

$$r_{\log} : H^{k-m}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X}) \to H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbf{R}(k))/(?) := \bigl\{H^{k-1,k-m}(X) \oplus H^{k-m,k-1}(X)\bigr\} \cap H^{2k-m-1}(X,\mathbf{R}(k-1)).$$

(ii) There is a similar (but more complicated, see (3.0) below) explicit description for the composite

$$H^{k-m}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X}) \overset{r_B}{\to} \bigl\{H^{k-1,k-m}(X) \oplus H^{k-m,k-1}(X)\bigr\} \cap H^{2k-m-1}(X,\mathbf{R}(k-1)).$$

(iii) In the case $m \le 2$, both maps define regulators $r_{\log} : CH^k(X,m) \to H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbf{R}(k))$, $r_B = r_{\mathcal{D}} : CH^k(X,m) \to H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbf{R}(k))$ which agree for $m=1$, and up to composite (for $m=2$) with the real operator

$$J \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{R}}\bigl(\bigl\{H^{k-1,k-m}(X) \oplus H^{k-m,k-1}(X)\bigr\} \bigcap H^{2k-m-1}(X,\mathbf{R}(k-1))\bigr),$$

$$w = w_{k-1,k-m} \oplus w_{k-m,k-1} \quad\mapsto\quad \sqrt{-1}w_{k-1,k-m} \ominus \sqrt{-1}w_{k-m,k-1}.$$

§1. Review of Milnor K-Theory

We first recall the definition of Milnor K-theory [B-T]. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a field with multiplicative group $\mathbf{F}^\times$, and set:

$$T(\mathbf{F}^\times) = \coprod_{n\ge 0} T^n(\mathbf{F}^\times),$$

the tensor product of the $\mathbf{Z}$-module $\mathbf{F}^\times$. Thus $\mathbf{F}^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} T^1(\mathbf{F}^\times)$, $a \mapsto [a]$. If $a \ne 0,\ 1$, set $r_a = [a]\otimes[1-a] \in T^2(\mathbf{F}^\times)$. The two-sided ideal R generated by r_a is graded, and we put:

$$K^M_*\mathbf{F} = T(\mathbf{F}^\bullet)/R = \coprod_{n\ge 0} K^M_n\mathbf{F}.$$

Thus $K^M_*\mathbf{F}$ is presented as a ring by generators $\ell(a)$ ($a \in \mathbf{F}^\times$) subject to:

(R_1) $\qquad \ell(ab) = \ell(a) + \ell(b),$

(R_2) $\qquad \ell(a)\ell(b) = 0$ if $a+b=1, \quad (a \ne 0,1).$

We summarize those results we need from [B-T].

Proposition 1.0. The following relations are a consequence of R_1 and R_2 above:

(R_3) $\qquad \ell(a)\ell(-a) = 0.$

(R_3') $\qquad \ell(a)\ell(-1) = -(\ell(a))^2.$

(R_4) $\qquad \ell(a)\ell(b) = -\ell(b)\ell(a).$ [Thus $K^M_*\mathbf{F}$ is anti-commutative.]

(R_5) $\qquad \ell(a_1)\cdots\ell(a_n) = 0,$ if $a_1+\cdots+a_n = 1$ or $0,$ and $n \ge 2.$

Furthermore, $\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} K^M_0(\mathbf{F})$, $\ell : \mathbf{F}^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} K^M_1(\mathbf{F})$; and for $n \ge 2$, $K^M_n(\mathbf{F})$ is presented as an abelian group by generators $\ell(a_1),\dots,\ell(a_n)$, $a_1,\dots,a_n \in \mathbf{F}^\times$ subject to

$(R_1)_n$ $\qquad (a_1,\ldots,a_n)\mapsto \ell(a_1)\cdots\ell(a_n)$ a multilinear function $\mathbf{F}^\times\times\cdots\times\mathbf{F}^\times\to K_n^M(\mathbf{F})$;

$(R_2)_n$ $\qquad \ell(a_1)\cdots\ell(a_n)=0$ if $a_i+a_{i+1}=1$ for some $i<n$.

Example 1.1. It is customary to express $R_1\to R_4$ in terms of the symbol notation:

$$\{a_1a_2,b\}=\{a_1,b\}\{a_2,b\},$$

$$\{a,1-a\}=1 \text{ for } a\neq 0,1,$$
$$\{a,b\}=\{b,a\}^{-1},$$
$$\{a,-a\}=1.$$

Furthermore, one can easily verify that

$$\{a,a\}=\{-1,a\}=\{a,a^{-1}\}=\{a^{-1},a\}.$$

Now continuing as in [B-T], we introduce κ-Algebras. First, a graded ring $\kappa=\coprod_{n\geq 0}\kappa_n$ is defined by

$$\kappa=\frac{\mathbf{Z}[t]}{2t\mathbf{Z}[t]}=\mathbf{Z}[\epsilon],$$

where ϵ (of degree 1) = image of t. Thus $\kappa_0=\mathbf{Z}$, and for $n\geq 1$, $\kappa_n=\mathbf{Z}_2\epsilon^n$, ($\mathbf{Z}_2=\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$). In other words, κ = ring of polynomials in the variable ϵ with constant term $\mathbf{Z}$ and higher degree terms in $\mathbf{Z}_2$.

Definition 1.2. A graded κ-algebra is a graded ring $A=\coprod_{n\geq 0}A_n$ equipped with a homomorphism $\kappa\to A$ of graded rings, defined by $\epsilon\mapsto\epsilon_A\in A_1$, such that $\epsilon_a\in\text{Center}(A)$. We call A a κ-Algebra if further A_1 generates A as a κ-algebra and $a^2=\epsilon_a a$ for all $a\in A_1$.

Example 1.3. For a field $\mathbf{F}$, the map $\kappa\to K_*^M(\mathbf{F})$ given by $\epsilon\mapsto\ell(-1)$ gives $K_*(\mathbf{F})$ the structure of a κ-Algebra. Indeed $\ell(-1)$ is central because $K_*^M(\mathbf{F})$ is anti-commutative and $2\ell(-1)=0$; moreover $(R_3')\Rightarrow a^2=\epsilon_A a$ (namely $(\ell(a))^2=\ell(-1)\ell(a)$).

Example 1.4. The free κ-Algebra on a generator Π is the κ-Algebra

$$\kappa(\Pi)=\frac{\kappa[X]}{(X^2-\epsilon X)},$$

where X is an indeterminate of degree 1 with image Π modulo $X^2-\epsilon X$. Evidently, $\kappa(\Pi)$ is a free κ-modulo with basis 1, Π. For any κ-Algebra A, put $A(\Pi)=A\otimes_\kappa\kappa(\Pi)=A\oplus A\Pi$, a free left A-module with basis 1, Π. Thus $A(\Pi)_m=A_m\oplus A_{m-1}\Pi$.

This time we will assume given $\mathbf{F}$ a field with a discrete valuation $\nu:\mathbf{F}^\times\to\mathbf{Z}$, with corresponding discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}:=\{a\in\mathbf{F}\mid\nu(a)\geq 0\}$, where we assign $\nu(0)=\infty$. Let $\pi\in\mathcal{O}$ generate the unique maximal ideal (π) (i.e. $\nu(\pi)=1$), and recall that all other non-zero ideals are of the form (π^m), $m\geq 0$. Note that $\mathbf{F}^\times=\mathcal{O}^\times\pi^{\mathbf{Z}}$ (direct product). Let $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}(\nu)$ be the residue field, and K_*^M Milnor K-theory. There is a map ($\ell(\pi)=\Pi$):

$$d_\pi:\mathbf{F}^\times\to(K_*^M\mathbf{k}(\nu))(\Pi),\quad d_\pi(u\pi^i)=\ell(\overline{u})+i\Pi,$$

with $\overline{u}\in\mathbf{k}(\nu)$ the corresponding value, and where Π satisfies $\Pi^2=\ell(-1)\Pi$. This induces:

$$\partial_\pi:K_*^M\mathbf{F}\to(K_*^M\mathbf{k}(\nu))(\Pi).$$

One next defines maps:

$$\partial_\pi^0,\ \partial_\nu:K_*^M\mathbf{F}\to K_*^M\mathbf{k}(\nu),$$

by

$$\partial_\pi(x) = \partial_\pi^0(x) + \partial_\nu(x)\Pi,$$

which can be shown to be independent of the choice of π satisfying $\nu(\pi) = 1$ [B-T]. In general $\partial_\nu : K_m^M\mathbf{F} \to K_{m-1}^M\mathbf{k}(\nu)$. Thus for example, suppose we write $\xi = a\pi^i$, then $\partial_\pi(\xi) = d_\pi(\xi) = \ell(\overline{a}) + i\Pi$, and thus $\partial_\nu(\xi) = i$. In general, for $\xi_j = a_j\pi^{k_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, we are interested in the product

$$\partial_\pi(\ell(\xi_1)\cdots\ell(\xi_m)) = (\ell(\overline{a}_1) + k_1\Pi)(\ell(\overline{a}_2) + k_2\Pi)\cdots(\ell(\overline{a}_m) + k_m\Pi) = \ell(\overline{a}_1)\cdots\ell(\overline{a}_m) + (?)\Pi,$$

where

$$\ell(\overline{a}_1)\cdots\ell(\overline{a}_m) = \partial_\pi^0(\ell(\xi_1)\cdots\ell(\xi_m)), \quad ? = \partial_\nu(\ell(\xi_1)\cdots\ell(\xi_m))$$

A simple calculation gives:

Proposition 1.5.

$$\partial_\nu(\ell(\xi_1)\cdots\ell(\xi_m)) = \sum_{j=1}^m k_j(-1)^{m-j}\ell(\overline{a}_1)\cdots\widehat{\ell(\overline{a}_j)}\cdots\ell(\overline{a}_m) \ + \ \text{intermediate terms} \ + \big(\prod_{j=1}^m k_j\big)\big[\ell(-1)\big]^{m-1},$$

where the "intermediate terms" involve the factor $\ell(-1)$.

§2. The basic regulator

In this section, we define a map

$$\begin{tikzcd}
r_{\log} \ : \ H^{k-m}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\mathcal{K}^M_{k,X}) \arrow[r] & H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbf{R}(k))/(?) \arrow[d, no head, "\wr"] \\
& \frac{H^{2k-m-1}(X,\mathbf{R}(k-1))}{\pi_{k-1}(F^kH^{2k-m-1}(X,\mathbf{C}))}/(?) \quad . \arrow[d, "\wr"', "\substack{\text{Hodge}\\ \text{projection}}"] \\
& \big\{H^{k-1,k-m}(X)\oplus H^{k-m,k-1}(X)\big\}\bigcap H^{2k-m-1}(X,\mathbf{R}(k-1))
\end{tikzcd}$$

To see how this map is defined, observe that

$$\frac{H^{2k-m-1}(X,\mathbf{R}(k-1))}{\pi_{k-1}(F^kH^{2k-m-1}(X,\mathbf{C}))} \simeq \big\{H^{k-1,k-m}(X)\oplus\cdots\oplus H^{k-m,k-1}(X)\big\}\bigcap H^{2k-m-1}(X,\mathbf{R}(k-1))$$

$$\simeq \Big\{\big\{H^{n-k+m,n-k+1}(X)\oplus\cdots\oplus H^{n-k+1,n-k+m}(X)\big\}\bigcap H^{2n-2k+m+1}(X,\mathbf{R}(n-k+1))\Big\}^\vee$$

$$\to \Big\{\big\{H^{n-k+m,n-k+1}(X)\oplus H^{n-k+1,n-k+m}(X)\big\}\bigcap H^{2n-2k+m+1}(X,\mathbf{R}(n-k+1))\Big\}^\vee.$$

First, we define a current associated to a basic symbol $\{f_1,\dots,f_m\} \in K_m^M(\mathbf{C}(Z))$, where $\mathrm{codim}_X Z = k-m$. Namely, the current defined by:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_Z (-1)^{\ell-1}\log|f_\ell|(d\log|f_1|\wedge\cdots\wedge d\widehat{\log|f_\ell|}\wedge\cdots\wedge d\log|f_m|)\wedge w, \tag{2.0}$$

where

$$w \in \big\{E_X^{n-k+m,n-k+1}\oplus E_X^{n-k+1,n-k+m}\big\}\bigcap\big\{E_X^{2n-2k+m+1}\otimes\mathbf{R}(n-k+1))\big\}$$

is a C^∞ differential form on X. We must first show that this current depends only on the symbol $\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\} \in K_m^M(\mathbf{C}(Z))$. More specifically, we prove:

Proposition 2.1. Suppose w is both ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ closed and that $m \geq 2$. Then $f_j + f_{j+1} = 1$ for some $j \Rightarrow$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \int_Z (-1)^{\ell-1} \log|f_\ell| (d\log|f_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\log|f_\ell|} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|f_m|) \wedge w = 0.$$

Proof. Let $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) : Z \to \left(\mathbf{P}^1\right)^{\times m}$; further let $(t_1, \ldots, t_m)$ be affine coordinates of $\left(\mathbf{P}^1\right)^{\times m}$. By a birational modification, we can assume Z is smooth and that F is a morphism. Now let

$$\xi = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} (-1)^{\ell-1} \log|t_\ell| (d\log|t_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\log|t_\ell|} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|t_m|).$$

Then

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \int_Z (-1)^{\ell-1} \log|f_\ell| (d\log|f_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\log|f_\ell|} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|f_m|) \wedge w = \int_Z (F^*\xi) \wedge w = F_*(w)(\xi),$$

where we identify w with its corresponding current. Next, the assumption $f_j + f_{j+1} = 1$ for some $j \Rightarrow t_j + t_{j+1} = 1$, and that consequently we can assume given a morphism $\tilde{F} : Z \to \left(\mathbf{P}^1\right)^{\times m-1}$, and a corresponding L^1_{loc} form $\tilde{\xi}$ on $\left(\mathbf{P}^1\right)^{\times m-1}$, such that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \int_Z (-1)^{\ell-1} \log|f_\ell| (d\log|f_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\log|f_\ell|} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|f_m|) \wedge w = \int_Z (\tilde{F}^*\tilde{\xi}) \wedge w.$$

But

$$\int_Z (\tilde{F}^*\tilde{\xi}) \wedge w = \tilde{F}_*(w)(\tilde{\xi});$$

moreover the current $\tilde{F}_*(w)$ being both ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ closed implies by $\overline{\partial}$ regularity that $\tilde{F}_*(w)$ is the current associated to a holomorphic $m-1$ form on $\left(\mathbf{P}^1\right)^{\times m-1}$ and its conjugate. [Note: The same satement cannot be said of $F_*(w)$, for Hodge type reasons!] Since $m \geq 2$, this current must necessarily be zero, hence the proposition. QED

Now consider $\eta \in E_X^{n-k+m-1,n-k}$ and $\partial\overline{\partial}\eta + \overline{\partial}\partial\overline{\eta}$, and note that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} Z = 2n + 2m - 2k$. We need to evaluate, for a given $\{f_1 \ldots, f_m\} \otimes Z$,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \int_Z (-1)^{\ell-1} \log|f_\ell| (d\log|f_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\log|f_\ell|} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|f_m|) \wedge (\overline{\partial}\partial\eta + \partial\overline{\partial}\overline{\eta}). \tag{2.2}$$

First, we can assume by passing to a normalization, that Z is normal. Further, from (1.1), we can assume that the divisors for each of the f_j, $j = 1, \ldots, m$ are different. Let $\Sigma \subset Z$ be the support of all the divisors (f_j), $j = 1, \ldots, m$, and $\Sigma_\epsilon \subset Z$ an ϵ-tube around Σ. Now the integral (2.2) is the same as

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \int_Z (-1)^{\ell-1} \log|f_\ell| (d\log|f_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\log|f_\ell|} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|f_m|) \wedge d(\partial\eta + \overline{\partial}\overline{\eta});$$

moreover by reason of Hodge type of $\partial\eta + \overline{\partial}\overline{\eta}$ and by the taking of residues along Σ,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} \log|f_\ell| (d\log|f_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\log|f_\ell|} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|f_m|) \wedge (\partial\eta + \overline{\partial}\overline{\eta}) = 0.$$

Thus by Stokes' Theorem, the calculation of (2.2) amounts to calculating

$$\int_Z (d\log|f_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|f_m|) \wedge (\partial\eta + \overline{\partial}\overline{\eta}).$$

We can further reduce this to a calculation of the type

$$\int_Z (\frac{d\overline{f}_1}{\overline{f}_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{d\overline{f}}{\overline{f}_m}) \wedge \partial\eta \ + \ \int_Z (\frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{df_m}{f_m}) \wedge \overline{\partial}\overline{\eta}.$$

Again, by Hodge type considerations, this is the same as

$$\int_Z (\frac{d\overline{f}_1}{\overline{f}_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{d\overline{f}}{\overline{f}_m}) \wedge d\eta \ + \ \int_Z (\frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{df_m}{f_m}) \wedge d\overline{\eta},$$

and by Stokes' Theorem, this amounts to calculating

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0}\biggl(\int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} (\frac{d\overline{f}_1}{\overline{f}_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{d\overline{f}}{\overline{f}_m}) \wedge \eta \ + \ \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} (\frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{df_m}{f_m}) \wedge \overline{\eta}\biggr).$$

By a residue calculation, this amounts to the same thing as

$$= \sum_{D \subset \Sigma}\biggl(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}(-1)^{m-\ell}\nu_D(f_\ell)\int_D (d\log|f_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge d\widehat{\log|f_\ell|} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|f_m|) \wedge (\eta + \overline{\eta})\biggr).$$

This expression is strikingly familiar to the first term of (1.5). Indeed, if in (1.5), we set $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}(D)$, and replace $\ell(\ )$ by $d\log|(\ )|$, then $d\log|(-1)| = 0$ and integration leads precisely to the above calculation. In particular, if a class in $H^{k-m}_{\text{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X})$ is represented by ζ, then the corresponding current T_ζ associated to ζ, induced by the formula in (2.0), is $\partial\overline{\partial}$-closed. Next, suppose $w \in E_X^{n-k+m,n-k+1} \oplus E_X^{n-k+1,n-k+m}$ is a real ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ closed form. For a given $\{f_1, \dots, f_{m+1}\} \otimes Z$, we will again assume that $f_j \in \mathbf{C}(Z)^\times$, the divisors of (f_j), $j = 1, \dots, m+1$ are all different, and that we have a morphism $F = (f_1, \dots, f_{m+1}) : Z \to \bigl(\mathbf{P}^1\bigr)^{\times m+1}$, where $\text{codim}_X Z = k - m - 1$, and Z is presumed smooth. Thus we can write $\{f_1, \dots, f_{m+1}\} \otimes Z = F^*\{t_1, \dots, t_{m+1}\} \otimes (\mathbf{P}^1)^{\times m+1}$, where $(t_1, \dots, t_{m+1})$ are affine coordinates of $\bigl(\mathbf{P}^1\bigr)^{\times m+1}$. For a given irreducible divisor $D \subset \bigl(\mathbf{P}^1\bigr)^{\times m+1}$, we consider the elements

$$\xi_D := \sum_{j=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{m+1-j}\nu_D(t_j)\{t_1, \dots, \hat{t}_j, \dots, t_{m+1}\}_D, \quad \xi := \sum_D \xi_D.$$

$$\eta_{\xi_D} := \sum_{j=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{m+1-j}\nu_D(t_j) d\log|t_1| \wedge \cdots \wedge d\widehat{\log|t_j|} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|t_{m+1}|.$$

If we can show that

$$\sum_D \int_D F^*(\eta_{\xi_D}) \wedge w = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

then by (1.5) and functoriality, we arrive at a well-defined map

$$H^{k-m}_{\text{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X}) \to \biggl\{\bigl\{H^{n-k+m,n-k+1}(X) \oplus H^{n-k+1,n-k+m}\bigr\} \bigcap H^{2n-2k+m+1}(X, \mathbf{R}(n-k+1))\biggr\}^\vee,$$

hence by duality a map

$$H^{k-m}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X}) \to \big\{H^{k-1,k-m}(X) \oplus H^{k-m,k-1}(X)\big\} \bigcap H^{2k-m-1}(X, \mathbf{R}(k-1)).$$

The only irreducible codimension one D's in $\big(\mathbf{P}^1\big)^{\times m+1}$ contributing to non-trivial ξ_D are the following. Set $D_{0,\ell} = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \{0\} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1$, $D_{\infty,\ell} = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \{\infty\} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1$. Then

$$\xi_{D_{0,\ell}} = (-1)^{m+1-\ell}\{t_1, \ldots, \hat{t}_\ell, \ldots, t_{m+1}\}_{D_{0,\ell}}$$

$$\xi_{D_{\infty,\ell}} = (-1)^{m-\ell}\{t_1, \ldots, \hat{t}_\ell, \ldots, t_{m+1}\}_{D_{\infty,\ell}}.$$

Note that $D_{0,\ell} \simeq (\mathbf{P}^1)^{\times m} \simeq D_{\infty,\ell}$, and that $D_{0,\ell} \sim_{\mathrm{hom}} D_{\infty,\ell}$ in $(\mathbf{P}^1)^{\times m+1}$. Now ξ defines a $\partial\overline{\partial}$-closed current T_ξ of the form $\sum_D \int_D G_D$, where G_D is a log form that is $\partial\overline{\partial}$ closed. By the Poincaré-Lelong Theorem, we can write the current of integration over D, namely $\delta_D := \int_D = \partial\overline{\partial}T_{\psi_D} + \Phi_D$, where ψ_D is log type, and Φ_D is a ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ closed C^∞ form on $(\mathbf{P}^1)^{\times m+1}$, namely the first Chern form. Note that for a C^∞ bump function φ_ϵ on $(\mathbf{P}^1)^{m+1}$, zero on an ϵ-neighbourhood $\Sigma_{<\epsilon}$ of the various D's in question,

$$\partial\overline{\partial}T_{\psi_D}(G_D) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \partial\overline{\partial}T_{\varphi_\epsilon \cdot \psi_D}(G_D) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} T_{\varphi_\epsilon \cdot \psi_D}(\partial\overline{\partial}G_D) = 0.$$

Thus $T_\xi = \sum_D \int_{(\mathbf{P}^1)^{\times m+1}} G_D \wedge \Phi_D$. If we work with $D = D_{0,\ell}$ say, then

$$\Phi_D = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{dt_\ell \wedge d\overline{t}_\ell}{(1+|t_\ell|^2)^2}.$$

This is the same form for $D_{\infty,\ell}$ since the Chern form depends only on the bundle. Also, as forms on $(\mathbf{P}^1)^{\times m+1}$, $G_{D_{0,\ell}} + G_{D_{\infty,\ell}} = 0$. For each D, we are essentually dealing with the calculation

$$G_D \wedge \Phi_D = \sum_r (-1)^* \log|t_r| \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{dt_\ell \wedge d\overline{t}_\ell}{(1+|t_\ell|^2)^2} \wedge \Bigg(\bigwedge_{j=1, j\neq \ell, r}^{m+1} d\log|t_j|\Bigg).$$

Moreover, for any current T satisfying $\partial\overline{\partial}T = F_*w - T_\eta$ for some C^∞ closed form η, and if φ_ϵ is a C^∞ bump function vanishing on $\Sigma_{<\epsilon}$, then

$$\partial\overline{\partial}T(G_D \wedge \Phi_D) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \partial\overline{\partial}T(\varphi_\epsilon G_D \wedge \Phi_D) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} T(\partial\overline{\partial}(\varphi_\epsilon \cdot \wedge G_D \wedge \Phi_D)), \tag{2.4}$$

and by symmetry considerations together with $G_{D_{0,\ell}} + G_{D_{\infty,\ell}} = 0$, it follows that $\sum_D \partial\overline{\partial}T\big(G_D \wedge \Phi_D\big) = 0$. It follows that F_*w in the formula

$$\sum_D \int_D F^*(\eta_{\xi_D}) \wedge w = \sum_D \int_{\mathbf{P}^{1\,m+1}} G_D \wedge \Phi_D \wedge F_*(w)$$

can be replaced by any C^∞ closed form representative η, where

$$\{\eta\} \in H^{m+1,0}(\big(\mathbf{P}^1\big)^{\times m+1}) \oplus H^{0,m+1}(\big(\mathbf{P}^1\big)^{\times m+1}) = 0.$$

But such an η can thus be chosen to be zero. QED

§3. Comparison to the Beilinson regulator

According to [Lew3], the definition of real Deligne cohomology of a smooth quasi-projective variety U with good compactification $\overline{U}$ (with $E := \overline{U}\backslash U$) can be taken to be given by:

$$H^i_{\mathcal{D}}(U, \mathbf{R}(p)) \simeq H^i\big(\tilde{\mathbf{R}(p)}_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{U}) := \mathrm{Cone}\{F^p\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{U}^\infty} < E > (\overline{U}) \xrightarrow{-\pi_{p-1}} \mathcal{E}^\bullet_{\overline{U},\mathbf{R}} < E > (p-1)(\overline{U})\}[-1]\big),$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{\bullet}_{\overline{U},\mathbf{R}} < E > (\overline{U})$ is a corresponding real logarithmic complex [Bu]. The product structure on $\mathbf{R}\tilde{(p)}_{\mathcal{D}}$, viz:

$$\mathbf{R}\tilde{(p)}_{\mathcal{D}} \times \mathbf{R}\tilde{(q)}_{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathbf{R}(p\tilde{+}q)_{\mathcal{D}}$$

can be arrived at from the table in [E-V], and is given below:

	f_q	s_q
f_p	$f_p \wedge f_q$	$(-1)^{\deg f_p}\pi_p(f_p) \wedge s_q$
s_p	$s_p \wedge \pi_q(f_q)$	0

This defines also defines multiplication on the corresponding description of $H^i_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbf{R}(p))$ via the definition above. For instance, $H^0_{\mathrm{Zar}}(U,\overline{K}^M_{1,U}) = H^0(U,\mathcal{O}^{\times}_U)$, and the corresponding Beilinson regulator map $r_B : H^0(U,\mathcal{O}^{\times}_U) \to H^1_{\mathcal{D}}(U,\mathbf{R}(1))$ is represented by

$$f \in H^0(U,\mathcal{O}^{\times}_U); \quad r_B(f) = \{(\frac{df}{f}, \log|f|)\} \in H^1_{\mathcal{D}}(U,\mathbf{R}(1)).$$

Now let $f_1,\dots,f_m \in \mathbf{C}(U)^{\times}$ be given. Then we have:

$$\{(\frac{df_1}{f_1},\log|f_1|)\}\bigcup\cdots\bigcup\{(\frac{df_m}{f_m},\log|f_m|)\}$$

$$= \left\{\left(\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{df_m}{f_m}, \xi(f_1,\dots,f_m)\right)\right\} \in H^m_{\mathcal{D}}(U,\mathbf{R}(m)),$$

where for example

$$\xi(f_1) = \log|f_1|; \quad \xi(f_1,f_2) = \log|f_1|\pi_1(\frac{df_2}{f_2}) - \log|f_2|\pi_1(\frac{df_1}{f_1});$$

$$\xi(f_1,f_2,f_3) = \log|f_1|\pi_1(\frac{df_2}{f_2})\wedge\pi_1(\frac{df_3}{f3}) - \log|f_2|\pi_1(\frac{df_1}{f_1})\wedge\pi_1(\frac{df_3}{f_3}) + \log|f_3|\pi_2(\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2});$$

$$\xi(f_1,f_2,f_3,f_4) = \log|f_1|\pi_1(\frac{df_2}{f_2})\wedge\pi_1(\frac{df_3}{f_3})\wedge\pi_1(\frac{df_4}{f_4}) - \log|f_2|\pi_1(\frac{df_1}{f_1})\wedge\pi_1(\frac{df_3}{f_3})\wedge\pi_1(\frac{df_4}{f_4})$$

$$+\log|f_3|\pi_2(\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2})\wedge\pi_1(\frac{df_4}{f_4}) - \log|f_4|\pi_3((\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2})\wedge\pi_1(\frac{df_3}{f_3}),$$

and so on ...

We want to apply this to the following setting. Fix an irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X$ of codimension $k-m$, and $f_1,\dots,f_m \in \mathbf{C}(Z)^{\times}$. Let $D \subset Z$ be the divisor supporting the zeros and poles of the f_j's,

$j = 1, \ldots, m$ (and Z_{sing}). Let $U_X = X \backslash D$ and $U_Z = Z \backslash D$. We refer to the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{k-m}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \{H^{k-1,k-m}(X) \oplus H^{k-m,k-1}(X)\} \cap H^{2k-m-1}(X, \mathbf{R}(k-1)) \\
E_2^{k-m,-k}(k) \Rightarrow CH^k(X,m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbf{R}(k)) \arrow[u] \arrow[d] \\
CH^k(U_X, m) \arrow[r] & H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(U_X, \mathbf{R}(k)) \\
CH^m(U_Z, m) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & H^m_{\mathcal{D}}(U_Z, \mathbf{R}(m)) \arrow[u, "i_*"'] \\
CH^1(U_Z, 1)^{\otimes m} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\cap"] & H^1_{\mathcal{D}}(U_Z, \mathbf{R}(1))^{\otimes m} \arrow[u, "\cup"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

We prove:

Proposition 3.0. The Beilinson regulator[†]

$$r_B : H^{k-m}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X}) \to \{H^{k-1,k-m}(X) \oplus H^{k-m,k-1}(X)\} \bigcap H^{2k-m-1}(X, \mathbf{R}(k-1))$$

is induced by $w \in \{H^{n-k+m,n-k+1}(X) \oplus H^{n-k+1,n-k+m}(X)\} \bigcap H^{2k-m-1}(X, \mathbf{R}(n-k+1))$

$$\mapsto \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n-k+m}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \int_Z \xi(f_1, \ldots, f_m) \wedge w.$$

Proof. Let $\overline{U}_X$ be a good compactification of U_X. In particular, we have a morphism $\sigma : \overline{U}_X \to X$, where $\sigma^{-1}(D) = \overline{D}$ is a NCD in $\overline{U}_X$. Then $\big(\overline{U}_X, \overline{D}\big)$ can be used to compute the Deligne cohomology $H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(U_X, \mathbf{R}(k))$. Let $\zeta = \sum_\alpha \big\{f_{1,\alpha}, \ldots, f_{m,\alpha}\big\} \otimes Z_\alpha \in H^{k-m}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X})$ be given, with corresponding image in $r_B(\zeta) \in H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbf{R}(k))$. Likewise, we have a corresponding $i_*(\frac{df}{f}, \xi) := i_*\big(\sum_\alpha(\frac{df_{1,\alpha}}{f_{1,\alpha}} \wedge \frac{df_{m,\alpha}}{f_{m,\alpha}}, \xi(f_{1,\alpha}, \ldots, f_{m,\alpha}))\big) \in H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(U_X, \mathbf{R}(k))$. Then working over U_X, $r_B(\zeta) - i_*(\frac{df}{f}, \xi)$ is a coboundary in $H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(U_X, \mathbf{R}(k))$. Working with the second factor in the cone description of $H^{2k-m}_{\mathcal{D}}(U_X, \mathbf{R}(k))$, and using $\sigma^*(E_X^{n-k+m,n-k+1} \oplus E_X^{n-k+1,n-k+m}) \subset \Sigma_{\overline{D}} E^\bullet_{\overline{U}_X}$:= forms which pull back to zero on $\overline{D}$, it follows from the techniques used in [Lew1] that $\big(r_B(\zeta) - i_*(\frac{df}{f}, \xi)\big)(w) = 0$[††]. Part (iii) of the Main Theorem is easy and will be left to the reader.

[†] Wherever r_B is defined. Let us refer back to the spectral sequence in §0. Note that in general for $\ell \geq 1$ and any $m \geq 0$, $E_2^{k-m+\ell,-k-\ell} = H^{k-m+\ell}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{CH}^k(k+\ell))$. From the Gersten resolution, this involves terms of the form $CH^{m-\ell}(\mathbf{C}(Z), m)$, where $\mathrm{codim}_X Z = k - m + \ell$, i.e. $\dim Z = n - k + m - \ell$, which cannot support a form w in $E_X^{n-k+m,n-k+1} \oplus E_X^{n-k+1,n-k+m}$, since $\ell \geq 1$. Thus, so long as one can represent a class $\xi \in E_2^{k-m,-k} = H^{k-m}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \overline{\mathcal{K}}^M_{k,X})$ by a lifting $\tilde{\xi} \in CH^k(X,m)$ (for example if ξ lives forever in this spectral sequence), then $r_B(\xi)$ is defined and given by $r_B(\xi) = r_{\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{\xi})$.

[††] Strickly speaking, $r_B(\zeta) - i_*(\frac{df}{f}, \xi)$ is a coboundary current. But that current can be chosen in the dual space of $\Sigma_{\overline{D}} E^\bullet_{\overline{U}_X}$ because $\overline{U}_X$ is smooth and $\overline{D} \subset \overline{U}_X$ is a NCD. See [Bu](Remark, page 562).

References

[B-T] H. Bass and J. Tate, The Milnor ring of a global field, in Algebraic K-theory II, Lecture Notes in Math. **342**, Springer-Verlag, 1972, 349–446.

[Bei] A. Beilinson, Higher regulators and values of L-functions, J. Soviet math. **30**, 1985, 2036–2070.

[Blo] S. Bloch, Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory, Adv. Math. **61**, 1986, 267–304.

[Bu] J. Burgos, Green forms and their product, Duke Math. J. **75**, No. **3**, 1994, 529–574.

[EV] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, Deligne-Beilinson cohomology, in Beilinson's Conjectures on Special Values of L-Functions, (Rapoport, Schappacher, Schneider, eds.), Perspect. Math. **4**, Academic Press, 1988, 43–91.

[G-L] B. Gordon and J. Lewis, Indecomposable higher Chow cycles on products of elliptic curves, J. Algebraic Geometry **8**, 1999, 543–567.

[GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.

[Ja] U. Jannsen, Deligne cohomology, Hodge-$\mathcal{D}$-conjecture, and motives, in Beilinson's Conjectures on Special Values of L-Functions, (Rapoport, Schappacher, Schneider, eds.), Perspect. Math. **4**, Academic Press, 1988, 305–372.

[Ka] K. Kato, Milnor K-theory and the Chow group of zero cycles, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. **55**, Part I, 1986, 241–253.

[Lev] M. Levine, Localization on singular varieties, Invent. Math. **31**, 1988, 423–464.

[Lew] Lewis, J.D.: Higher Chow groups and the Hodge-$\mathcal{D}-$conjecture, Duke Math. J. **85** (1996), 183–207.

———, A note on indecomposable motivic cohomology classes, J. reine angew. Math. **485** (1997), 161–172.

———, A duality pairing between cohomology and higher Chow groups, J. reine angew. Math. **504** (1998), 177–193.

[MS] S. Müller-Stach, Constructing indecomposable motivic cohomology classes on algebraic surfaces, J. Algebraic Geometry **6**, 1997, 513–543.

———, Algebraic cycle complexes, in Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on the Arithmetic and Geometry of Algebraic Cycles Vol. **548**, (Lewis, Yui, Gordon, Müller-Stach, S. Saito, eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, (2000), 285–305.

[Sou] C. Soulé, Lectures on Arakelov Geometry, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **33**, 1992.